



## In Vitro Testing of Sunscreens for Dermal Absorption

### Yang Yang, PhD, BPharm

### Division of Product Quality Research, Office of Testing and Research Office of Pharmaceutical Quality, CDER, FDA Yang.yang@fda.hhs.gov

### Topical Drug Development Workshop 07.23-24.2020

\*This presentation reflects the views of the authors and should not be construed to represent FDA's views or policies.





# A quality product of any kind consistently meets the expectations of the user.



Sunscreens are no different.

People expect safe and effective sunscreens.

## Outline



- □ Introduction
- Study Design
- Materials and Methods
- **Results**
- Conclusions
- **Gamma** References
- Acknowledgements

FDA

## Introduction



- Sunscreens are OTC drugs indicated for the prevention of sunburn and skin damage following chronic exposure to UV radiation.
- Sunscreens contain UV filters as active ingredients. They are supposed to act locally on the skin surface following dermal application.
- UV filters have been detected in systemic circulation.

## Introduction



- In 2019, FDA issued a proposed sunscreen rule identified the need for additional safety data for UV filters, including dermal absorption data that can be obtained from MUsT studies.
- FDA guidance recommends conducting *in vitro* skin permeation test (IVPT) to: 1) select products for MUsT studies, and
  2) be used as an alternative approach to test the final product formulation composed of GRASE ingredients only.
- Case studies were conducted by FDA.

## **Study Designs**



### **Study I. Product Selection for MUsT Studies using IVPT**

- Formulations: 1 cream, 2 lotions, 2 sprays.
- Skin model: Dermatomed human skin
- Dosing: Single infinite dose (to reflect maximal usage conditions)

### Study II. Further IVPT Method Development for formulation testing

- Formulations: 1 cream, 1 lotion, 4 sprays.
- Skin model: Heat-separated human epidermis (HSE)
- Dosing: Single finite dose (to match with the  $2^{nd}$  clinical study with one application on Day 1 at 2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>)



## **Active ingredients in 8 commercial formulations**

Product names in IVPT studies	UV Filters in Sunscreen Formulations	Product names in Clinical studies
Cream	Avobenzone 2%, Octocrylene 10%, Ecamsule 2%	Cream (Study I)
A-lotion	Avobenzone 3%, Octocrylene 6%, Oxybenzone 4%	Lotion (Study I & II)
B-lotion	Avobenzone 3%, Octocrylene 6%, Oxybenzone 4%	-
A-spray	Avobenzone 3%, Octocrylene 8%, Oxybenzone 6%	-
B-spray	Avobenzone 3%, Octocrylene 10%, Oxybenzone 5%	Spray-2 (Study I)
C-spray	Avobenzone 3%, Octocrylene 10%, Oxybenzone 6%, Homosalate 15%, Octisalate 5%	Spray-1 (Study II)
D-spray	Avobenzone 3%, Octocrylene 10%, Homosalate 10%, Octisalate 5%, Octinoxate 7.5%	Spray-2 (Study II)
D-oil spray	Avobenzone 3%, Homosalate 10%, Octisalate 5%, Octinoxate 7.5%	Spray-3 (Study II)

### **Physiochemical properties of UV filters affect skin permeation**

FDA

	Ecamsule	Oxybenzone	Avobenzone	Octisalate	Homosalate	Octinoxate	Octocrylene
LogP	1.4	3.8	4.5	4.6	4.7	5.8	6.8
Molecular Weight	562.7	228.2	310.4	250.3	262.3	290.4	361.5
Melting Point	255°C	62°C	83.5°C	<25°C	<20°C	-25°C	14°C
TPSA	160 Å <sup>2</sup>	<b>46.5</b> Å <sup>2</sup>	<b>43.4</b> Å <sup>2</sup>	<b>46.5</b> Å <sup>2</sup>	<b>46.5</b> Å <sup>2</sup>	<b>35.5</b> Å <sup>2</sup>	<b>50.1</b> Å <sup>2</sup>
рКа	2.0	7.6	9.7	8.1	8.1	-4.8	-

LogP, octanol-water partition coefficient; TPSA, topological polar surface area in  $Å^2$ ; pKa, acid dissociation constant at log scale.



www.fda.gov SCCS "Scientific Advice on US FDA questions regarding the safety assessment of UV filters in the EU." 20158

## FDA

## **Analytical methods**

### Agilent RapidFire-MS/MS system

### SciEx UHPLC-Qtrap 6500 MS/MS





## Devices for in vitro skin permeation test



Flow-Through Diffusion System

### Franz Diffusion System



#### www.fda.gov



## Results for Study I. Product selection for MUsT studies using IVPT





www.fda.gov



# Rank orders of cumulative skin permeation of UV filters (infinite dose)

Product	Rank order of UV f	ilters permeated thro	ough cadaver skin (1→5: H	$lighest \rightarrow Lowest)$
Туре	Avobenzone	Octocrylene	Oxybenzone	Ecamsule
<b>B</b> -lotion	5	3	1 1	-
A-lotion	1	1	2 <sup>2</sup>	-
A-spray	4	5	3 <sup>3</sup>	-
B-spray	2	2	4 <sup>1, 2, 3</sup>	-
Cream	3	4	-	1
Statistical	No	No	$P < 0.0051.2$ $P < 0.05^3$	
Difference	INU	INO	$r < 0.003^{-2}, P < 0.05^{-2}$	-

(One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test was used for all data analysis.)



Cream 📰 B-Lotion 🖀 A-Lotion 🗆 A-Spray 🚍 B-Spray

Product	Rank order of U	V filters retained in ca	ndaver skin* (1→5: Hig	ghest → Lowest)
Туре	Avobenzone	Octocrylene	Oxybenzone	Ecamsule
<b>B</b> -lotion	4	4	4	-
A-lotion	3	3	3	-
A-spray	2	2	2	-
B-spray	1 <sup>1</sup>	1 <sup>3</sup>	1	-
Cream	5 <sup>1</sup>	5 <sup>3</sup>	-	1
Statistical	$P < 0.005^{1}$	$P < 0.05^3$	No	
Difference	T < 0.003	T < 0.05	INO	-

www.fda.gov

**Skin retention of UV filters** 

(infinite dose)



## Results for Study II. Further IVPT Method Development to better reflect *in vivo* situations



### Intra-donor variability (CV%) can be very different between HSE and dermatomed human skin



	<b>Time Points</b>	B-lotion		4	A-lotion	B-spray		
	(h)	HSE	Dermatomed	HSE	Dermatomed	HSE	Dermatomed	
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2	3.0	34.3	5.2	55.2	25.1	62.0	
	4	3.2	29.4	11.7	45.1	11.1	48.5	
Cumulative	6	2.5	27.4	12.3	23.4	17.2	40.5	
Darmostion	8	12.5	12.6	8.6	6.8	17.6	35.1	
Permeation	10	12.8	12.8	16.3	15.1	20.1	34.4	
	24	35.6	21.7	22.5	2.7	8.3	13.1	

FDA



**Higher** permeation of avobenzone and octocrylene observed through HSE than dermatomed skin  $\rightarrow$  these two UV filters have greater dermal affinity than oxybenzone.



#### 



		Oxybenzon	e	Octocrylene Avobenzone						
Product names in	IVPT	Clinica	al AUC	IVPT	Clinica	al AUC	IVPT	Clinica	al AUC	Product names in
IVPT		day 1	day 4		day 1	day 4		day 1	day 4	Clinical Study
A-lotion	2	1	1	3	1	1	4	1	1	Lotion
C-spray	1	2	2	2	3	3	1	2	2	Spray-1
D-spray	-	-	-	1	2	2	3	3	3	Spray-2
<b>D-oil spray</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4	4	Spray-3
¥ ¥		Homosalate								1 V
<b>i</b> ,	]	Homosalat	e		Octisalate			Octinoxate	)	, ř
Product	]	Homosalato Clinica	e nl AUC		Octisalate Clinica	l AUC		Octinoxate Clinica	e al AUC	Product
Product names in IVPT	IVPT	Homosalato Clinica day 1	e 11 AUC day 4	IVPT	Octisalate Clinica day 1	ll AUC day 4	IVPT	Octinoxate Clinica day 1	e hl AUC day 4	Product names in Clinical Study
Product names in IVPT A-lotion	IVPT	Homosalato Clinica day 1 -	e 11 AUC day 4 -	IVPT -	Octisalate Clinica day 1 -	day 4	IVPT	Octinoxate Clinica day 1 -	day 4	Product names in Clinical Study Lotion
Product names in IVPT A-lotion C-spray	<b>IVPT</b> - 1	Homosalate Clinica day 1 - 1	e al AUC day 4 - 1	<b>IVPT</b> - 2	Octisalate Clinica day 1 - 2	d AUC day 4 - 2	IVPT - -	Octinoxate Clinica day 1 - -	e al AUC day 4 - -	Product names in Clinical Study Lotion Spray-1
Product names in IVPT A-lotion C-spray D-spray	<b>IVPT</b>	Homosalate Clinica day 1 - 1 2	e dl AUC day 4 - 1 2	<b>IVPT</b> - 2 1	Octisalate Clinica day 1 - 2 1	d AUC day 4 - 2 1	- - 1	Octinoxate Clinica day 1 - - 1	e al AUC day 4 - - 1	Product names in Clinical Study Lotion Spray-1 Spray-2

### Skin retention of UV filters



## Tape stripping method (6 strips):

Figure 4. Residual Sunscreen Active Ingredient Amount in Skin



Amount of each analyte in skin detected on day 7 and day 14 for each participant and product. Observations for the same participant are connected by a solid black line. Amounts are summarized by product (along x-axis) and normalized to surface area of tape strips (ng/cm<sup>2</sup>). There were 10, 10, and 10 paired avobenzone, oxybenzone, and octocrylene observations, respectively, for lotion; there were 11, 10, 10, 11, and 11 paired avobenzone, oxybenzone,

octocrylene, homosalate, and octisalate observations, respectively, for aerosol spray; there were 9, 9, 9, 9, and 9 paired avobenzone, octocrylene, homosalate, octisalate, and octinoxate observations, respectively, for nonaerosol spray; and there were 8, 6, 6, and 7 paired avobenzone, homosalate, octisalate, and octinoxate observations, respectively, for pump spray.

Matta et. al. JAMA 2020



### **Rank orders of skin retention of UV filters**

	(	Oxybenzon	e	(	Octocrylen	e	A	Avobenzon	e	
Product names in	IVPT	Clin	nical	IVPT	Clin	nical	IVPT	Clin	nical	Product names in
IVPT		day 7	day 14		day 7	day 14		day 7	day 14	<b>Clinical Study</b>
A-lotion	2	2	2	3	3	3	1	3	3	Lotion
C-spray	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	2	4	Spray-1
D-spray	-	-	-	1	1	1	2	1	1	Spray-2
D-oil spray	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	2	Spray-3
		Homosalate				Octisalate				
	]	Homosalat	e		Octisalate	)		Octinoxate	e	
Product	]	Homosalat Clir	e nical		Octisalate Clir	nical		Octinoxate Clir	e nical	Product
Product names in IVPT	IVPT	Homosalat Clir day 7	e nical day 14	IVPT	Octisalate Clin day 7	nical day 14	IVPT	Octinoxate Clin day 7	e nical day 14	Product names in Clinical Study
Product names in IVPT A-lotion	IVPT	Homosalat Clir day 7 -	e nical day 14 -	IVPT -	Octisalate Clin day 7 -	nical day 14 -	IVPT -	Octinoxate Clin day 7 -	e nical day 14 -	Product names in Clinical Study Lotion
Product names in IVPT A-lotion C-spray	<b>IVPT</b> - 1	Homosalat Clin day 7 - 1	e nical day 14 - 1	<b>IVPT</b>	Octisalate Clin day 7 - 3	nical day 14 - 3	- -	Octinoxato Clin day 7 - -	e nical day 14 - -	Product names in Clinical Study Lotion Spray-1
Product names in IVPT A-lotion C-spray D-spray	- 1 2	Homosalat Clin day 7 - 1 2	e nical day 14 - 1 2	<b>IVPT</b>	Octisalate Clin day 7 - 3 1	nical day 14 - 3 1	- - 1	Octinoxato Clin day 7 - - 1	e nical day 14 - - 1	Product names in Clinical Study Lotion Spray-1 Spray-2



## On a side note: Formulation properties may affect skin absorption of UV filters

- Formulation type
- Globule size distribution
- pH
- Rheological properties (yield stress, viscosity)
- In-use weight loss (evaporation rate)

### Raman microscopy, mapping, and multivariate analysis of globules and matrices



www.fda.gov

FDA



### **Cryo-SEM characterization of the semisolid sunscreens**



www.fda.gov



## pH measurement and yield stress analysis



Data obtained by Dr. Ann-Marie Ako-Adounvo.

## Differences in sunscreen weight loss reveals in-use evaporation rates vary significantly



0-2 hours

Data obtained by Dr. Ann-Marie Ako-Adounvo.

FDA

## **Summary**



- Physicochemical properties affect skin permeation of UV filters
- Dermatomed skin was used for product selection for MUsT studies, but many improvements can still be made in the IVPT study design.
- IVPT using heat-separated human epidermis (HSE) showed less intradonor variability than dermatomed skin
- Similar rank orders of skin content of UV filters were obtained from the IVPT and the clinical study
- Differences in sunscreen formulation properties may affect the absorption of UV filters

## References



- 1. Federal Register. Sunscreen Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use Proposed Rule. 2019
- 2. FDA. Guidance for Industry: Maximal Usage Trials for Topical Active Ingredients Being Considered for Inclusion in an Over-The-Counter Monograph: Study Elements and Considerations. 2019
- 3. FDA. Guidance for Industry: Nonprescription Sunscreen Drug Products Safety and Effectiveness Data. 2016
- 4. Matta MK, Florian J, Zusterzeel R, et al. Effect of Sunscreen Application on Plasma Concentration of Sunscreen Active Ingredients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2020;323(3):256-267.
- 5. Matta MK, Zusterzeel R, Pilli NR, et al. Effect of Sunscreen Application Under Maximal Use Conditions on Plasma Concentration of Sunscreen Active Ingredients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2019;321(21):2082-2091.
- 6. Yang Y, Ako-Adounvo A-M, Wang J, et al. In Vitro Testing of Sunscreens for Dermal Absorption: A Platform for Product Selection for Maximal Usage Clinical Trials. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology.* 2020.

## Acknowledgements

- **G** FDA intramural funding support
- **Dr. Janet Woodcock**



Research group members and collaborators:

FDA-MCERCI Workshop Committee Ann-Marie Ako-Adounvo Afrifa Jiang Wang Theresa Michele Daniel Willett Steven Adah **David Strauss** Huzeyfe Yilmaz Muhammad Ashraf Jian Wang Patrick Faustino Luke Oh Thomas O'Connor Larry Lee

CDER Sunscreen Working Group FDA Transdermal Working Group

Sergio Coelho

Murali Matta

E. Dennis Bashaw

Da Zhang

Eleftheria Tsakalozou

Soo Hyeon Shin

FDA

